

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,251	07/08/2003	Yi-Chung Tsai	P-1353	6028
35741	7590 10/05/2004		EXAM	INER
KUO-HSIUNG CHIU 13F., NO.23, JIUN-HO STREET, PEITUN DISTRICT TAICHUNG, 406			SHRIVER II, JAMES A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN	TAIWAN			
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/05/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/614,251	TSAI, YI-CHUNG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,	J. Allen Shriver	3618			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT rill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>08 July 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objecting Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do a: 2. Certified copies of the priority do a: 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been a al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attach					
Attachment(s)	0 □ Inter-to 0	(PTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	O-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/614,251 Page 2

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaufman (US Patent 5,381,870) in view of Finkle (US Patent 5,893,425). Kaufman discloses an exercise device comprising a motorized skateboard (10) having a signal-receiving unit, a motor (18) in connection with the signal-receiving unit being mounted on the skateboard for driving wheels (33) to provide forward movement for the skateboard; and a control glove (65) having a signal-sending unit; whereby when the signal-sending unit on the control glove continuously sends signals for actuating the motor, the signal-receiving unit on the skateboard will receive the signals which are then used to control the rotation of the motor at a preset speed.

Kaufman does not disclose wherein the motor and signal-receiving unit are mounted to the bottom of the skateboard and wherein the signal-receiving unit forwards signals to microprocessors to control the motor. Finkle discloses wherein the motor (22) and signal-receiving unit (45) are mounted to the bottom of the skateboard (See Fig. 2), and wherein the signal-receiving unit forwards signals to microprocessors (48) to control the motor (See Fig. 10). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to arrange the motor and signal-receiving unit on the bottom of the skate in Kaufman in view of the

Art Unit: 3618

teaching of Finkle. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a clear upper platform for the user to stand upon. Additionally, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in this art to use microprocessors to control the operation of the motor disclosed in Kaufman in view of the teaching of Finkle. The motivation for using a microprocessor would be to precisely control the voltage sent to the motor, which would control the speed.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claim 2 is allowed over the prior art.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art did not disclose wherein the power switches of the electric skateboard and the control glove are turned on, the signal-receiving unit is in stand-by mode, and when the operator bends his middle finger to bring the upper piece in touch with the central piece for producing an electromagnetic induction effect, the signal-sending unit within the control center continuously sends specific signals received by the signal-receiving unit on the skateboard.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record in the accompanying PTO Form 892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Allen Shriver whose telephone number is (703) 308-1224. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,251 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris P. Ellis can be reached on (703) 305-0168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allen Shriver

Examiner Art Unit 3618